UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,099	08/11/2005	Nigel Victor Spurr	60130-2290;02MRA0334	5516
	7590 05/05/200 ASKEY & OLDS, P.C.	EXAMINER		
400 WEST MA		BARRERA, RAMON M		
SUITE 350 BIRMINGHAM	I, MI 48009		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2832	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/518,099	SPURR ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	RAMON M. BARRERA	2832		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinuity will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 F	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,8,13-16,18,23,24,26,33 and 39-4. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-5,23,24,33,39 and 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,8,13-16,18,26,40 and 41 is/are re 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	<u>42-48</u> is/are withdrawn from cons			
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 15 December 2004 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/26/08,11/26/07,12/15/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/518,099 Page 2

Art Unit: 2832

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 3, 4, 5, 23, 24, 33, 39, 42-48 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 2/26/08.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 13, 14, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Tamura Electric Works(JP2000-331824), cited on applicant's IDS.
- 4. Claims 1, 13, 14, 15, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by figs. 5-8 of Rockwell Light Vehicle Systems(EP0759625), cited on applicant's IDS.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,099 Page 3

Art Unit: 2832

6. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tamura, cited above, in view of Tokyo Shibaura Electric Co,(JP61-111105), cited on applicant's IDS.

Tamura did not disclose a core connected to a frame. Tokyo in fig. 1 disclosed a core 54 connected to a frame 53, an alternative structure known in the art. Therefore, because these two electromagnetic coil arrangements were art-recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute in Tamura a core connected to a frame. Secondly, it has been held that constructing a formerly integral structure in various elements involves only routine skill in the art. *Nerwin v. Erlichman*, 168 USPQ 177, 179.

7. Claims 16, 18, 26, 40, and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tamura, cited above.

Tamura disclosed the claimed invention except for the air gap ranges. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the stated air gap ranges, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RAMON M. BARRERA whose telephone number is (571)272-1987. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 11 to 5PM.

Art Unit: 2832

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin G. Enad can be reached on (571) 272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ramon M Barrera/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2832

rmb